

# Lecture Notes 3.

MA 722

## 1. GEOMETRIC RESOLUTION

The “Geometric Resolution” of an algebraic variety  $V$  is a special kind of triangular representation  $T = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  where  $f_1 \in k[u_1, \dots, u_m, x_1]$  monic in  $x_1$  and

$$f_i = p_i(u_1, \dots, u_m)x_i - q_i(u_1, \dots, u_m, x_1) \quad i = 2, \dots, n$$

such that  $p_i \neq 0$  and  $q_i$  is pseudo-reduced modulo  $f_1$ . Similarly to the triangular representation computed by Wu’s method, we will require that the polynomials which pseudo-reduce to zero modulo  $T$  are the ones which vanish on  $V$  generically (see previous lecture notes). Geometric resolution of higher dimensional varieties were first used by [GH91].

Not all varieties admit such a simple geometric resolution. First of all, since the  $\mathbf{V}(T)$  is always “equidimensional”, i.e. each of its irreducible components have the same dimension, therefore  $V$  has to be equidimensional as well.  $V$  being equidimensional is almost sufficient for the geometric resolution to exist. In this lecture notes we will prove that there exists a linear change of coordinates such that in the new coordinate system  $V$  admits a geometric resolution. As it turns out, a random linear change of variables will work with high probability. In later lecture notes we will give methods to compute a geometric resolution.

First we discuss the case when  $m = 0$ , i.e.  $V$  is zero dimensional.

**1.1. Zero Dimensional Case.** In the zero dimensional case the Geometric resolution is called *Rational Univariate Representation* (see [Rou96, Rou99]). The following theorem, called the “Shape Lemma”, is the heart of the theory behind the rational univariate representation:

**Theorem 1.1** (Shape Lemma). *Let  $k$  be algebraically closed. Let  $I$  be a zero dimensional radical ideal in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{V}(I)$  has  $m$  points such that their  $x_1$ -coordinates are all distinct. Then the reduced Gröbner basis  $G$  for  $I$  with respect to the lexicographic order with  $x_1$  being the last variable has the following form:  $G$  consists of  $n$  polynomials*

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= x_1^m + h_1(x_1) \\ g_2 &= x_2 + h_2(x_1) \\ &\vdots \\ g_n &= x_n + h_n(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  are polynomials in  $x_1$  of degree at most  $m - 1$ .

*Proof.* First we prove that the equivalence classes  $[1], [x_1], \dots, [x_1^{m-1}]$  form a basis for the  $k$ -vector space  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ . They are linearly independent over  $k$ , otherwise there exist  $c_0, \dots, c_{m-1} \in k$  such that

$$g(x_1) := c_0 + c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_{m-1} x_1^{m-1} \in I.$$

Let  $\xi_{1,1}, \dots, \xi_{m,1}$  be the  $m$  distinct first coordinates of the points in  $\mathbf{V}(I)$ . Since  $g \in I$ , we have  $g(\xi_{i,1}) = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , which gives a homogeneous linear systems for  $c_0, \dots, c_{m-1}$  with coefficient matrix being a Vandermonde matrix. Using the fact that the Vandermonde matrix of  $m$  distinct numbers is non-singular implies

that all  $c_i = 0$ .

To prove that  $[1], [x_1], \dots, [x_1^{m-1}]$  generates  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ , it suffices to prove that

$$\dim_k k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I \leq m.$$

Consider the map

$$\phi : k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m; \quad [f] \mapsto (f(\xi_1), \dots, f(\xi_m)) \quad \xi_j \in \mathbf{V}(I).$$

If  $[f_0]$  is in the kernel of  $\phi$  then  $f_0 \in \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V}(I)) = \sqrt{I} = I$ , thus  $[f_0] = 0$ . Thus  $\dim_k k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I \leq m$ . This proves that  $[1], [x_1], \dots, [x_1^{m-1}]$  form a basis for  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ .

Now, if we express  $[x_1^m], [x_2], \dots, [x_n]$  in this basis, we get that polynomials of the form  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  lie in the ideal  $I$ . Thus  $\mathbf{V}(I) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ . Since  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  has at most  $m$  common roots, therefore  $\mathbf{V}(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \mathbf{V}(I)$  which implies that

$$I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle$$

since  $I$  is radical. Note that  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  forms a Gröbner basis for the order described in the claim.  $\square$

**1.2. Positive Dimensional Case.** The positive dimensional version of the Shape Lemma is as follows:

**Theorem 1.2** (Geometric Resolution). *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero and  $\bar{k}$  be its algebraic closure. Let  $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_t]$  be a radical ideal such that all irreducible components of  $\mathbf{V}(I) \subset \bar{k}^t$  have dimension  $m$ . Then there exists a linear change of coordinates*

$$y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^t c_{1,i} x_i, \quad \dots, \quad y_t = \sum_{i=1}^t c_{t,i} x_i, \quad c_{i,j} \in k$$

*such that  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  are algebraically independent over the irreducible components of  $\mathbf{V}(I)$ , and if  $\tilde{I} \subset k[y_1, \dots, y_t]$  is the ideal obtained from  $I$  after the change of variables, then the ideal generated by  $\tilde{I}$  in  $k(y_1, \dots, y_m)[y_{m+1}, \dots, y_t]$  is the same as*

$$\langle P, L_{m+1}, \dots, L_t \rangle \subset k(y_1, \dots, y_m)[y_{m+1}, \dots, y_t],$$

*where  $P \in k[y_1, \dots, y_{m+1}]$  is monic in  $y_{m+1}$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m+2} &= Q_{m+2}(y_1, \dots, y_m) y_{m+2} + R_{m+2}(y_1, \dots, y_{m+1}), \\ &\vdots \\ L_t &= Q_t(y_1, \dots, y_m) y_t + R_t(y_1, \dots, y_{m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

*with  $Q_{m+i} \in k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ ,  $R_{m+i} \in k[y_1, \dots, y_m, y_{m+1}]$  and  $\deg_{y_{m+1}}(R_{m+i}) < \deg_{y_{m+1}}(P)$  for all  $i = 2, \dots, m-t$ .*

We will need to prove three lemmas in order to prove the Theorem 1.2. These lemmas are important on their own right.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_t]$  be a radical ideal such that all irreducible components of  $\mathbf{V}(I) \subset \bar{k}^t$  have dimension  $m$ . Then there exists coordinates  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}$  such that the homomorphism*

$$\varphi : k[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}] \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$$

*is injective.*

**Remark 1.4.**  $\varphi$  being injective is equivalent to  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}$  being algebraically independent over some of the irreducible components of  $\mathbf{V}(I)$ . Moreover, if  $\bar{k}$  is algebraically closed, then  $\varphi$  is injective if and only if the projection

$$\pi : \bar{k}^t \rightarrow \bar{k}^m; (x_1, \dots, x_t) \mapsto (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m})$$

restricted to  $\mathbf{V}(I)$  is “generically surjective”, i.e. the image  $\pi(\mathbf{V}(I))$  is  $\bar{k}^m$  minus perhaps a lower dimensional algebraic set.

**Example 1.5.** If  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}(x_1x_2 - 1)$  then  $V$  is irreducible and  $x_1$  is algebraically independent over  $V$ , but the  $x_1 = 0$  point is not in the projection  $\pi(V)$ , thus we need the term “generically surjective”. We also need that  $\bar{k}$  is algebraically closed: if  $I = \langle x^2 + y^2 - 1 \rangle \subset k[x, y]$  then  $m = 1$  and we can choose either  $x$  or  $y$  to be algebraically independent over  $\mathbf{V}(I)$ .  $\pi|_{\mathbf{V}(I)} : (x, y) \mapsto (x)$  is surjective if  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , but not surjective if  $k = \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $I = \langle x - 3 \rangle \subset k[x, y]$  then  $m = 1$ , but  $x$  is not free over  $\mathbf{V}(I)$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1.3.* If  $m = 0$  then we don’t need to prove anything. Assume that  $m \geq 1$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, t$  we define

$$\varphi_j : k[x_j] \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I.$$

Then there exists  $j$  such that  $\ker(\varphi_j) = \{0\}$ , otherwise, if for all  $j$  there exists  $p_j(x_j) \neq 0 \in \ker(\varphi_j)$ , then we would have

$$p_1(x_1), \dots, p_t(x_t) \in I$$

which would imply that  $\dim(\mathbf{V}(I)) = 0$ . Define  $i_1$  to be such that  $\ker(\varphi_{i_1}) = \{0\}$ , which proves the  $m = 1$  case.

If  $m \geq 2$  then define for  $j = 1, \dots, t$ ,  $j \neq i_1$

$$\varphi_{i_1, j} : k[x_{i_1}, x_j] \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I.$$

Then there exists  $j$  such that  $\ker(\varphi_{i_1, j}) = \{0\}$ , otherwise, similarly as above, we can prove that  $\dim(\mathbf{V}(I)) = 1$ . Define  $i_2$  to be such that  $\ker(\varphi_{i_1, i_2}) = \{0\}$ , which proves the  $m = 2$  case. We can use induction to define  $i_1, \dots, i_m$  as above.  $\square$

Next we define a property of the coordinate system  $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$  which assures that  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  is algebraically independent over *all* irreducible components of  $V$ . We need two definitions first.

**Definition 1.6.** Given a commutative ring  $R$  and a ring extension  $S$ , an element  $s$  of  $S$  is called *integral* over  $R$  if it is one of the roots of a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $R$ .  $S$  is called an *integral extension* if every element of  $S$  is integral over  $R$ .

**Definition 1.7.** The coordinate system  $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$  is in *normal position* with respect to  $\mathbf{V}(I) \subset \bar{k}^t$  if there exists  $m \leq t$  such that the homomorphism

$$\varphi : k[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$$

is injective and  $k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$  is integral over  $k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ .

**Remark 1.8.** Suppose  $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$  is in normal position with respect to  $\mathbf{V}(I) \subset \bar{k}^t$ . Then for all irreducible components  $V'$  of  $\mathbf{V}(I)$  of dimension  $m$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are algebraically independent over  $V'$ . We will prove this in the Appendix below. Moreover, if  $\bar{k}$  is algebraically closed, then normal position implies that the projection

$$\pi : \bar{k}^t \rightarrow \bar{k}^m; (x_1, \dots, x_t) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

restricted to  $\mathbf{V}(I)$  is generically surjective and finite (each point has finite pre-images).

The next lemma is the well-known Noether Normalization Lemma, proving that a linear change of variables is sufficient to get a coordinate system in normal position.

**Lemma 1.9** (Noether Normalization Lemma). *Let  $k$ ,  $I$ ,  $\mathbf{V}(I)$  and  $m$  as in Theorem 1.2. Then there exists a linear change of coordinates*

$$y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^t c_{1,i}x_i, \quad \dots \quad y_t = \sum_{i=1}^t c_{t,i}x_i \quad c_{i,j} \in k$$

*such that  $y_1, \dots, y_t$  is in normal position w.r.t.  $\mathbf{V}(I)$ , i.e.  $k[y_1, \dots, y_t]/\tilde{I}$  is an integral extension of  $k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ . Here  $\tilde{I} \subset k[y_1, \dots, y_t]$  is the ideal obtained from  $I$  after the change of variables.*

*Proof.* If  $t = m$  then  $k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$  is clearly integral over  $k[x_1, \dots, x_t]$ . If  $t > m$  then the equivalence classes  $[x_1], \dots, [x_t]$  in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$  are algebraically dependent over  $k$ . Therefore, there exists a polynomial  $F \neq 0 \in k[x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}, x_t]$  which vanishes modulo  $I$ .  $F$  is possibly not a monic polynomial in  $x_t$ . Let  $u_1 := x_1 - a_1x_t, \dots, u_{t-1} := x_{t-1} - a_{t-1}x_t$  where  $a_1, \dots, a_{t-1} \in k$  will be specified later. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}, x_t) &= F(u_1 + a_1x_t, \dots, u_{t-1} + a_{t-1}x_t, x_t) \\ &= f(a_1, \dots, a_{t-1})x_t^{d_t} + q(u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}, x_t) \\ &= \bar{F}(u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}, x_t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $f$  is some non-zero polynomial in  $t-1$  variables and  $\deg_{x_t}(q) < d_t$ . If we choose  $a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}$  such that  $f(a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}) \neq 0$ , then  $\bar{F}/f(a_1, \dots, a_{t-1})$  is a monic polynomial in  $x_t$  vanishing modulo  $\bar{I}$ , where  $\bar{I} \subset k[u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}, x_t]$  is obtained from  $I$  by substituting  $u_i + a_ix_t$  into  $x_i$ . This shows that  $[x_t]$  is integral over  $k[u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}]$ . By induction on  $t$ , there is a linear change of coordinates  $y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}$  of  $u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}$  such that  $k[y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}]/(\tilde{I} \cap k[y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}])$  is integral over  $k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ . Here  $\tilde{I}$  is as in the claim.

Let  $y_t := x_t$ . We will prove that  $[y_t]$  satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ . To prove this, we will use the so called *determinant trick*. The above argument implies that there is a finite set of elements  $h_1, \dots, h_N \in k[y_1, \dots, y_t]$  such that the set

$$\{[h_1], \dots, [h_N]\} \subset k[y_1, \dots, y_t]/\tilde{I}$$

generates  $k[y_1, \dots, y_t]/\tilde{I}$  as a  $k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  module. For example,  $\{[y_{m+1}^{i_{m+1}} \cdots y_t^{i_t}] : 0 \leq i_{m+1} < d_{m+1}, \dots, 0 \leq i_t < d_t\}$  will work as generators, where  $d_i$  is the degree of some monic polynomials in  $\tilde{I} \cap k[y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}][y_i]$ , which we proved to exist. We can assume that  $h_1 = 1$ . Therefore, there exists  $f_{i,j} \in k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, N$  such that

$$[y_t h_i] = \sum_{j=1}^N f_{i,j} [h_j]$$

in  $k[y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}, y_t]/\tilde{I}$ , or equivalently

$$\sum_{j=1}^N (\delta_{i,j} [y_t] - f_{i,j}) [h_j] = 0.$$

This can be written in a matrix form as  $Ah = 0$ , where

$$A = (\delta_{i,j}y_t - f_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^N \in k[y_1, \dots, y_m][y_t]^{N \times N} \text{ and } h = (h_j)_{j=1}^N \in k[y_1, \dots, y_t]^N.$$

Multiplying  $A$  by its adjoint, we get that  $Dh \in \tilde{I}$  where  $D$  is the diagonal matrix with  $\det(A)$  in its diagonals. Thus,  $\det(A)h_j \in \tilde{I}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , and in particular, for  $h_1 = 1$ ,  $\det(A) \cdot 1 \in \tilde{I}$ . Now  $\det(A)$  gives the desired monic polynomial with coefficients in  $k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  vanishing on  $[y_t]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.10.** In the above proof we also showed that  $k[y_1, \dots, y_t]/\tilde{I}$  is integral over  $k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  if and only if it is finitely generated as a  $k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ -module. In general, a similar proof shows that a commutative ring extension  $S$  of  $R$  is integral over  $R$  if and only if  $S$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module.

This also implies that  $k(y_1, \dots, y_m)[y_{m+1}, \dots, y_t]/\langle \tilde{I} \rangle$  is a finite dimensional vector space over the fraction field  $k(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ . Here  $\langle \tilde{I} \rangle$  denotes the ideal generated by  $\tilde{I}$  in the ring  $k(y_1, \dots, y_m)[y_{m+1}, \dots, y_t]$ .

Finally, our last lemma proves that if  $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$  is in normal position with respect to  $\mathbf{V}(I)$ , then there exists a *primitive element*  $[u] \in k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$  such that  $[u]$  generates  $k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$  as a  $k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ -algebra.

**Lemma 1.11** (Primitive element). *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero. Let  $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_t]$  be a radical ideal as above. Assume that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$  is in normal position with respect to  $\mathbf{V}(I)$ . Denote by  $\langle I \rangle$  the ideal generated by  $I$  in  $k(x_1, \dots, x_m)[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_t]$ . Then there exists*

$$u = c_{m+1}x_{m+1} + \dots + c_tx_t \quad c_i \in k$$

such that the equivalence classes  $[1], [u], \dots, [u^d]$  generate

$$\mathcal{A} := k(x_1, \dots, x_m)[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_t]/\langle I \rangle$$

as a  $k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ -vector space for some  $d \geq 0$ .

*Outline of Proof.* We will prove that if  $[u_1]$  and  $[u_2]$  generate  $\mathcal{A}$  as an algebra over  $k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  for some  $u_1, u_2 \in k(x_1, \dots, x_m)[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_t]$ , then  $[u] := [u_1] + \lambda[u_2]$  is a primitive element for all except a finite  $\lambda \in k$ . Then the general case can be proved by induction.

Since  $[u_1]$  and  $[u_2]$  are integral over  $k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ , there exist

$$f \in k(x_1, \dots, x_m)[U_1] \text{ and } g \in k(x_1, \dots, x_m)[U_2],$$

the “minimal polynomials” of  $[u_1]$  and  $[u_2]$ , such that  $f(u_1)$  is the generator of the principal ideal  $\langle I \rangle \cap k(x_1, \dots, x_m)[u_1]$  and  $g(u_2)$  is the generator of  $\langle I \rangle \cap k(x_1, \dots, x_m)[u_2]$ . Here  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are new variables. Since  $I$  is a radical ideal, one can prove that  $f$  and  $g$  are square-free over  $k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .

We will prove that for all  $\lambda \in k$ ,  $[u] := [u_1] + \lambda[u_2]$  is a primitive element, unless

$$\lambda = -\frac{[u_1] - u'_1}{[u_2] - u'_2}$$

where  $f(u'_1) = 0$  and  $g(u'_2) = 0$ , which excludes only finitely many choices for  $\lambda$ . It suffices to prove that  $[u_2]$  is in the algebra generated by  $[u]$  over  $k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , since it also implies that  $[u_1] = [u] - \lambda[u_2]$  is also in this algebra.

Fix  $\lambda$ , let  $U$  be a new variable and let

$$h(U_2, U) := f(U - \lambda U_2) \in k(x_1, \dots, x_m)[U_2, U].$$

Consider the Sylvester resultant  $R_0(U)$  of  $h(U_2, U)$  and  $g(U_2)$  in the variable  $U_2$ , and the first subresultant polynomial

$$R_1(U)U_2 + S_1(U) \in k(x_1, \dots, x_m)[U_2, U].$$

By construction  $R_0(U)$  and  $R_1(U)U_2 + S_1(U)$  are both in  $\langle h(U_2, U), g(U) \rangle$ , and they have the property that  $R_0(y) = R_1(y) = 0$  for some  $y$  in the algebraic closure of  $k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  if and only if  $h(U_2, y)$  and  $g(U_2)$  has more than one common roots, counted with multiplicity. Since  $h([u_2], [u]) = 0$  and  $g([u_2]) = 0$ , therefore

$$R_0([u]) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R_1([u])[u_2] + S_1([u]) = 0.$$

However,  $R_1([u]) \neq 0$ , otherwise  $h(U_2, [u])$  and  $g(U_2)$  has at least two distinct common roots ( $f$  and  $g$  are square-free), so there exist  $u'_1$  and  $u'_2$  such that  $f(u'_1) = 0$  and  $g(u'_2) = 0$  and

$$u'_1 = [u] - \lambda u'_2 = [u_1] + \lambda([u_2] - u'_2)$$

but we excluded this case for  $\lambda$ . We can assume that  $R_0(U)$  is square-free over  $k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , otherwise we take its square-free part. If  $\gcd_U(R_0(U), R_1(U)) = d(U)$ , then  $d([u]) \neq 0$  and  $R_0/d$  and  $R_1$  are relatively prime, thus we can express

$$1 = p(U)R_0(U)/d(U) + q(U)R_1(U)$$

for some  $p, q \in k(x_1, \dots, x_m)[U]$ . This implies that

$$0 = q([u]) (R_1([u])[u_2] + S_1([u])) = [u_2] + q([u])S_1([u])$$

which proves that  $[u_2]$  is in the algebra generated by  $[u]$  over  $k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to proof the theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* In the Noether Normalization Lemma we proved that there exists

$$\begin{aligned} y'_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^t c_{1,i} x_i, \\ &\vdots \\ y'_t &= \sum_{i=1}^t c_{t,i} x_i \end{aligned}$$

for some  $c_{i,j} \in k$  such that  $\{y'_1, \dots, y'_t\}$  is in normal position with respect to  $\mathbf{V}(I)$ . Let  $I' \subset k[y'_1, \dots, y'_t]$  be the ideal obtained from  $I$  by the change of variables, and let  $\langle I' \rangle$  be the ideal generated over  $k(y'_1, \dots, y'_m)$ . By the primitive element theorem there exists

$$u = c_{m+1}y'_{m+1} + \dots + c_t y'_t \quad c_i \in k$$

such that  $[u]$  is a primitive element of  $k(y'_1, \dots, y'_m)[y'_{m+1}, \dots, y'_t]/\langle I' \rangle$  over  $k(y'_1, \dots, y'_m)$ . Assume that  $c_{m+k} \neq 0$ . Define  $y_i := y'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $y_{m+1} := u$ , and for  $i = m+2, \dots, t$ ,  $y_i$  is defined to be one of the remaining  $y'_j$  such that  $j \neq m+k$  and  $j > m$ . Let  $\tilde{I} \subset k[y_1, \dots, y_t]$  be the ideal obtained from  $I'$  after the change of coordinates, let  $\langle \tilde{I} \rangle$  be the ideal generated by  $\tilde{I}$  over  $k(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ , and denote

$$\mathcal{A} := k(y_1, \dots, y_m)[y_{m+1}, \dots, y_t]/\langle \tilde{I} \rangle.$$

Clearly,  $\{y_1, \dots, y_t\}$  is also in normal position, and  $[y_{m+1}]$  is a primitive element. Let  $D$  be minimal such that

$$[1], [y_{m+1}], \dots, [y_{m+1}^D]$$

generates the  $k(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ -vector space  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $[y_{m+1}]$  is integral over  $k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ , there exist  $P_j \in k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  for  $j = 0, \dots, D$

$$[y_{m+1}^{D+1}] = \sum_{j=0}^D P_j [y_{m+1}^j].$$

This defines the coefficients of the monic polynomial  $P$  in the claim. For all  $k = 2, \dots, t - m$  and  $j = 0, \dots, D$  there exist  $r_{m+k,j} \in k(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  such that

$$[y_{m+k}] = \sum_{j=0}^D r_{m+k,j} [y_{m+1}^j] \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let  $Q_{m+k}$  be the least common multiple of  $r_{m+k,j}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, D$ , and

$$R_{m+k} := Q_{m+k} \sum_{j=0}^D r_{m+k,j} y_{m+1}^j \in k[y_1, \dots, y_m, y_{m+1}].$$

Then  $L_{m+k} := Q_{m+k} y_{m+k} + R_{m+k}$  is the linear polynomial in  $y_{m+k}$  in the claim. We claim that

$$\langle P, L_{m+1}, \dots, L_t \rangle = \langle \tilde{I} \rangle \subset k(y_1, \dots, y_m)[y_{m+1}, \dots, y_t].$$

By construction,  $P, L_{m+1}, \dots, L_t \in \langle \tilde{I} \rangle$ . Also, by the minimality of  $D$  we have that

$$\dim \mathcal{A} = D = \dim k(y_1, \dots, y_m)[y_{m+1}, \dots, y_t] / \langle P, L_{m+1}, \dots, L_t \rangle$$

which proves that the ideals above are the same.  $\square$

**Example 1.12.** In this example we demonstrate what is the difference between the different representations of algebraic sets: Gröbner bases, Triangular Representation and Geometric resolution. Let

$$G := \{xy^3 - y^4, x^2y^2 - z^4\}.$$

Then  $G$  forms a Gröbner basis for the lexicographic order with  $x < y < z$ . Also,  $G$  is a triangular set for the variety  $\mathbf{V}(G)$ . However, it is not a geometric resolution, since the second is not linear in  $z$ . Fortunately,  $\{x, y, z\}$  is in normal position, since both  $[y]$  and  $[z]$  in  $k[x, y, z]/\langle G \rangle$  are integral over  $k[x]$ :  $xy^3 - y^4 \in \langle G \rangle$  is monic in  $y$  and  $z^4 - x^2y^2 \in \langle G \rangle$  is monic in  $z$ . Therefore, the primitive element theorem asserts that  $u := y - \lambda z$  is a primitive element for almost all  $\lambda$ . However, after substituting for example  $y = u + z$  in  $G$  we get that the Gröbner basis w.r.t.  $\text{lex } x < u < z$  is

$$\tilde{G} := \{-4x^3u^8 + 6x^2u^9 - 4u^{10}x + u^{11}, 32x^5u^4z + \dots \text{ etc. } \}$$

which shows that  $u$  is not a primitive element, since the second polynomial has a leading coefficient depending on  $u$ . Other choices of  $\lambda$  are not working either.

The problem here is that  $I$  is not a radical ideal, and the “minimal polynomial” of  $[y]$  is not square-free over  $k(x)$ , so no primitive element exists (check where the proof of the Primitive Element Theorem breaks down). To get the geometric resolution of  $\mathbf{V}(G)$  we consider the radical ideal  $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V}(G))$ , generated by

$$H = \{x^3y - z^4, -xy + y^2, -z^5 + x^4z, -xz + zy\}.$$

Note that in practice we would not compute the radical of the ideal, but would instead use the square-free factorization of the minimal polynomials of the generators of the factor algebra. Notice that  $H$  already contains a subset

$$T := \{-z^5 + x^4z, x^3y - z^4\}$$

which is a geometric resolution of  $\mathbf{V}(H)$ . Note that the ideal generated by  $T$  and by  $H$  in  $k[x, y, z]$  are not the same, hence the differing Gröbner basis. Also,  $\mathbf{V}(H)$  and  $\mathbf{V}(T)$  differ, since  $\mathbf{V}(T)$  contains the superfluous component  $\mathbf{V}(x, z)$ . However,  $T$  and  $H$  generate the same ideal in  $k(x)[y, z]$ . In fact, the polynomials which pseudo-reduce to 0 modulo  $T$  are the same as the ones which vanish generically on  $\mathbf{V}(H)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [GH91] Marc Giusti and Joos Heintz. Algorithmes - disons rapides - pour la décomposition d'une variété algébrique en composantes irréductibles et équidimensionnelles. In Teo Mora and Carlo Traverso, editors, *Effective Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, pages 169–194. Birkhäuser, 1991.
- [Rou96] F. Rouillier. *Algorithmes efficaces pour l'étude des zéros réels des systèmes polynomiaux*. PhD thesis, Université de Rennes I, may 1996.
- [Rou99] F. Rouillier. Solving zero-dimensional systems through the rational univariate representation. *Journal of Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, 9(5):433–461, 1999.

## APPENDIX

**Theorem 1.13.** *Let  $k$  be algebraically closed and assume that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$  is in normal position with respect to  $\mathbf{V}(I) \subset k^t$ . Then for all irreducible components  $V'$  of  $\mathbf{V}(I)$  of dimension  $m$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are algebraically independent over  $V'$ .*

(a) Prove that for any irreducible variety  $V^* \subset k^t$ , and any  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_t]$  either  $f \in \mathbf{I}(V^*)$  or  $\dim V^* \cap \mathbf{V}(f) \leq \dim V^* - 1$ .

*Proof. Claim 1:* For any irreducible variety  $V^* \subset k^t$ , and any  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_t]$  either  $f \in \mathbf{I}(V^*)$  or  $\dim V^* \cap \mathbf{V}(f) \leq \dim V^* - 1$ .

*Proof of Claim 1:* Assume that  $V^* \cap \mathbf{V}(f)$  has the same dimension as  $V^*$  but  $f \notin \mathbf{I}(V^*)$ . Denote  $\dim(V^*) = m$ . Let  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}$  algebraically independent variables over  $V^* \cap \mathbf{V}(f)$ . Then  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}$  is also algebraically independent over  $V^*$ , since  $\mathbf{I}(V^*) \subset \langle \mathbf{I}(V^*), f \rangle$ . Therefore, any  $[y] \in k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/\mathbf{I}(V^*)$  is algebraic over  $k(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m})$ . In particular,  $[f]$  is algebraic, so there exists a polynomial  $g \in k(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m})[T]$  such that

$$g(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}, f) \in \mathbf{I}(V^*).$$

Let  $g = \sum_{i=0}^d g_i(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m})T^i$  and assume that we chose  $g$  such that  $d$  is minimal. Then  $g_0 \neq 0$ , otherwise  $g(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}, f)$  is divisible by  $f$ , and using that  $\mathbf{I}(V^*)$  is a prime ideal and  $f \notin \mathbf{I}(V^*)$ , we could find a smaller degree polynomial vanishing on  $[f]$ . However,

$$0 \equiv g(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}, f) \equiv g_0(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) \pmod{\langle \mathbf{I}(V^*), f \rangle}$$

which implies that  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}$  is algebraically dependent over  $V^* \cap \mathbf{V}(f)$ , a contradiction.



Claim 2: If  $V' \subset \mathbf{V}(I)$  is irreducible of dimension  $m$  and  $\mathbf{I}(V') \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_m] \neq \{0\}$  then there exists  $r > m$  such that all polynomials in  $\mathbf{I}(V') \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}, x_r]$  vanish modulo  $\mathbf{I}(V') \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}]$ .

Proof of Claim 2: Assume, to the contrary of the claim, that for every  $j = 0, \dots, t-m$  there exists  $g_{m+j} \in I(V') \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_{m+j}]$  such that not all coefficients of  $g_{m+j}$  - as a polynomial in  $x_{m+j}$  - are in  $I(V')$ . Note that  $g_m \in k[x_1, \dots, x_m] \cap I(V')$  exists by the assumption of the claim. Let  $V'_{m+j}$  be the irreducible component of  $V(g_m, g_{m+1}, \dots, g_{m+j})$  which contains  $V'$ . (Note that  $V(g_m, g_{m+1}, \dots, g_{m+j})$  contains  $V'$ , so  $V'_{m+j}$  exists.)

We will prove that  $\dim V'_{m+j} = t - (j+1)$  by induction on  $j$ . For  $j = 0$  the claim is trivial. For  $j + 1$ :  $g_{m+j+1}$  cannot identically vanish on  $V'_{m+j}$  since otherwise  $g_{m+j+1} \in I(V'_{m+j}) \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_{m+j}]$ . But  $I(V'_{m+j+1}) \subset I(V')$ , which would also imply that  $g_{m+j+1} \in I(V') \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_{m+j}]$ , contradicting the definition of  $g_{m+j}$ . Therefore, using part (a), we have that

$$\dim V'_{m+j+1} = \dim V'_{m+j} \cap V(g_{m+j+1}) = \dim V'_{m+j} - 1$$

which is equal to  $t - (j+1) - 1 = t - (j+1+1)$ , using the inductive hypotheses.

Thus,  $\dim V' \leq \dim V'_t = t - (t - m + 1) = m - 1$  contradicting the assumption that  $\dim V' = m$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.13. Suppose there exists  $V' \subset \mathbf{V}(I)$  irreducible such that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  is not algebraically independent w.r.t.  $V'$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{I}(V') \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_m] \neq \{0\}$ . By Claim 2, there exists  $r > m$  such that all polynomials in  $\mathbf{I}(V') \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}, x_r]$  vanish modulo  $\mathbf{I}(V') \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}]$ . Then the equivalent class  $[x_r] \in k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$  is not integral over  $k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ , otherwise there were a polynomial  $p[x_1, \dots, x_m, x_r] \in I \subset \mathbf{I}(V')$  that is monic in  $x_r$ , so its leading coefficient 1 cannot vanish modulo  $\mathbf{I}(V') \cap k[x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}]$ . Thus  $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$  is not in normal position w.r.t.  $\mathbf{V}(I)$ .

□